

QUANTIZATION ON A 2-DIMENSIONAL PHASE SPACE WITH A CONSTANT CURVATURE TENSOR

M. Gadella, M. A. del Olmo and J. Tosiek *

Departamento de Física Teórica

Universidad de Valladolid

E-47011, Valladolid, Spain

E.mails: gadella@fta.uva.es, olmo@fta.uva.es, tosiek@ck-sg.p.lodz.pl

Abstract

Some properties of the star product of the Weyl type (i.e. associated with the Weyl ordering) are proved. Fedosov construction of the $\ast$ -product on a 2-dimensional phase space with a constant curvature tensor is presented. Eigenvalue equations for momentum p and position q on a 2-dimensional phase space with constant curvature tensors are solved.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ca

1 Introduction

The traditional formulation of quantum mechanics, in which the space of pure states is a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and observables are represented by self-adjoint operators acting on $\mathcal{H}$, is mainly applied for systems, whose classical phase spaces are $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In other cases the Dirac quantization programme [1] is out of work [2], [3]. To deal with quantum description of systems with nontrivial phase spaces it is necessary to use other methods: geometric quantization [4], [5], quantization by Stratonovich–Weyl kernels [6]–[9] or deformation quantization. We shall apply the last formalism.

In the deformation quantization approach, observables and states are represented by functions or generalized functions on the cotangent manifold $T^*\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a given manifold called the base space. The space $T^*\mathcal{M}$ plays here the role of phase space of the system under our consideration.

*On leave of absent from Tech. Univ. of Łódź, Poland

The basic idea in the deformation quantization method is the replacement of the standard commutative product of functions by a noncommutative product, usually called the star product and denoted by $*$. This replacement is called ‘deformation’ and the $*$ -product (or deformed product) depends on a parameter, usually the Planck constant $\hbar$.

Information about a state of a system is represented by some functional over a space of observables. In case of the phase space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such a functional is called *the Wigner function*, so we shall use this term although the functional representing a quantum state in fact need not be a function on $T^*\mathcal{M}$, but it is often a generalized function or distribution.

The formulation of quantum mechanics on phase space was first proposed by Wigner in the 30’s [10] and later by Moyal [11] but the progress of this method was fostered in 70’s by Agarwal, Wolf [12] and by Bayen *et al* [13]. Since that time several monographs have been written on this subject [14]–[18].

In the case of the flat phase space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the $*$ -product was defined by Groenewald [19] and Moyal [11]. This is the reason, why for systems with phase space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the $*$ -product is also known as *the Moyal product*.

In this work, we study physical systems with curved phase spaces. To do it we use the Fedosov procedure [20, 21] of the construction of the $*$ -product for any symplectic space. This approach to the deformation quantization has been propagated by many authors [22]–[25]. Another methods of construction of quantum mechanics on Poisson manifolds can be found in [26]–[28].

For the same physical system there exist many $*$ -products (see [12, 29]). Such a multitude is the consequence of the choice of ordering [30, 31]. In this paper we consider the Weyl ordering. The $*$ -product for this ordering is called $*$ -product of the Weyl type but we will simply call it $*$ -product.

In classical mechanics we have two fundamental structures: a symplectic manifold that plays the role of phase space and a Hamilton function on this manifold. In quantum mechanics a new element appears: curvature of the phase space. This curvature affects the definition of a $*$ -product. It means that starting from the same classical phase space we are able to build different quantum systems.

There is not a unique way to construct a geometric structure on $T^*\mathcal{M}$. We have chosen our construction based on the following assumptions:

- 1.i. we equip the phase space $T^*\mathcal{M}$ with some symplectic structure ω only. We do not define any metric structure on it;
- 1.ii. the Riemannian structure defined on the base space $\mathcal{M}$ affects the symplectic connection on $T^*\mathcal{M}$. The precise definition of the influence mentioned above is presented in section 4.1.

This paper consists of two parts. The first one includes some basic information and properties necessary to deal with a problem solved in the second part. We start with the foundations of the Fedosov formalism. We define the Weyl algebra bundle $\mathcal{V}$, construct the

abelian connection in $\mathcal{V}$ and build the $*$ -product of observables. We introduce the trace density and the theorem (2.6), which give us the opportunity to compute this density in some cases. We do not present the full mathematical structure of Fedosov idea but only the basic ideas that will allow us to follow the computations done in next paragraphs. Section 2 contains some properties of the $*$ -product necessary for our further consideration.

The main part of our work consists in the application of the Fedosov formalism to a 2-dimensional (2-D) phase space with globally constant curvature tensor. This is the most elementary generalization of the phase space $\mathbb{R}^2$ under conditions (1.i, 1.ii). We consider the case of constant curvature tensor instead of the constant scalar curvature because for every symplectic manifold the scalar curvature vanishes.

We shall look for the eigenvalues and the Wigner eigenfunctions of the momentum and the position on such a phase space. To find the eigenvalue equations of the momentum and the position, we follow the route proposed by Fedosov. We start from the construction of some symplectic connection ∇ compatible with assumptions (1.i, 1.ii) on $T^*\mathbb{R}$. Having this connection, we build an abelian connection in the Weyl algebra bundle $\mathcal{V}$. Finally using the abelian connection, we present and solve the eigenvalue equation for momentum p . This equation is a differential equation in an infinite range, which cannot be easily solved. For this reason we substitute it by the eigenvalue equation for p^2 , which is similar to the modified Bessel equation. We find its solution and prove that the obtained result is really the eigenfunction of the momentum. By analogy, we write the eigenvalue equations for momentum p and position q for a phase space with constant positive curvature tensor. We compare our results with the solutions for the flat symplectic space $\mathbb{R}^2$ and show that eigenfunctions of the momentum and position in the flat case are limits of the solutions obtained for the curved phase spaces analyzed by us. We also explain, why the presented considerations cannot be reduced to the problem of the eigenvalues of momentum and the position on $\mathbb{R}^2$, although all the $*$ -products on every 2-D symplectic space are equivalent. We present also the eigenvalue equation and its solution for the position. Some computations are rather long so we decided to present them in Appendices.

The formulas appearing in the Fedosov definition of star product are very pleasant for numerical analysis, hence some of the results presented in this contribution have been computed by using ‘Mathematica 4.0’. There is a more completed version with eight figures that the interested reader can request to the authors

2 The $*$ -product on curved phase spaces

This section contains the basic information necessary for later use. It is presented in the form of a set of useful formulas rather than a rigorous presentation of mathematical aspects of construction of the star product. The reader interested in details and proofs should see [20] or [21].

Let $T^*\mathcal{M}$ be a $2n$ -D cotangent bundle over a manifold $\mathcal{M}$. The manifold $T^*\mathcal{M}$ is equipped with some symplectic structure ω , which in local coordinates is written as

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{ij} dq^i \wedge dq^j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 2n. \quad (2.1)$$

Assume that $T^*\mathcal{M}$ is the phase space of some physical system.

Definition 2.1. *An observable $F(q^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, 2n$, is a real smooth function on $T^*\mathcal{M}$.*

We do not distinguish between classical and quantum observables. Both of them are defined in the same way.

Let us start constructing the $*$ -product on the symplectic manifold $T^*\mathcal{M}$. For every point $\mathbf{p} \in T^*\mathcal{M}$, we define some formal series with respect to a parameter denoted by $\hbar$

$$a := \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \hbar^l a_{k, i_1 \dots i_l} X_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_1} \dots X_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_l}, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

For $l = 0$, we put $a = \hbar^k a_k$.

The symbols in the formula (2.2) mean: $\hbar$ is a positive parameter, that we identify with the Planck constant; $X_{\mathbf{p}}^1, \dots, X_{\mathbf{p}}^{2n}$ are the components of an arbitrary fixed vector belonging to the tangent space $T_{\mathbf{p}}(T^*\mathcal{M})$ to the symplectic manifold $T^*\mathcal{M}$ at the point $\mathbf{p}$. The components $X_{\mathbf{p}}^1, \dots, X_{\mathbf{p}}^{2n}$ have been written in the natural basis $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i}\right)_{\mathbf{p}}$ determined by the chart $(W_{\lambda}, \varrho_{\lambda})$, such that $\mathbf{p} \in W_{\lambda}$; $a_{k, i_1 \dots i_l}$ are the components of some covariant tensor symmetric with respect to indices $(i_1, \dots, i_l)$ in the basis $dq^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dq^{i_l}$.

Let $V(X_{\mathbf{p}})$ be the set of all elements of kind (2.2) at the point $\mathbf{p} \in T^*\mathcal{M}$. Then, the tetrad $(V(X_{\mathbf{p}}), \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ is a linear space. In $V(X_{\mathbf{p}})$ we define a new product ‘ $\circ$ ’:

$$a \circ b := \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^t \frac{1}{t!} \omega^{i_1 j_1} \dots \omega^{i_t j_t} \frac{\partial^t a}{\partial X_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_1} \dots \partial X_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_t}} \frac{\partial^t b}{\partial X_{\mathbf{p}}^{j_1} \dots \partial X_{\mathbf{p}}^{j_t}}. \quad (2.3)$$

The tensor ω^{ij} fulfills the equation

$$\omega^{ij} \omega_{jk} = \delta_k^i, \quad (2.4)$$

where ω_{jk} are the coefficients of the symplectic form (2.1) in the basis $dq^i \otimes dq^j$. The definition of the $\circ$ -product is chart independent.

Theorem 2.1. *The pair $(V(X_{\mathbf{p}}), \circ)$ is an associative noncommutative algebra with unit.*

We will denote it by $\mathcal{V}(X_{\mathbf{p}})$ and call it *the Weyl algebra*. The unit in $\mathcal{V}(X_{\mathbf{p}})$ is just a formal series equal to 1.

Let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{V} := \bigcup_{\mathbf{p} \in T^*\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{V}(X_{\mathbf{p}}). \quad (2.5)$$

The new object $\mathcal{V}$ has the structure of the vector bundle. Its base space is the manifold $T^*\mathcal{M}$ and the fibre is isomorphic to the vector space $(V(X_{\mathbf{p}}), \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$. Moreover the fibre is also an algebra. The bundle $\mathcal{V}$ is known as *the Weyl bundle*. We are able to define differential forms with values in $\mathcal{V}$.

Definition 2.2. The m -differential form with values in the Weyl bundle $\mathcal{V}$ is given by the expression

$$a = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \hbar^l a_{k,i_1\dots i_l,j_1\dots j_m}(q^1, \dots, q^{2n}) X^{i_1} \dots X^{i_l} dq^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{j_m}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $0 \leq m \leq 2n$.

Here, $a_{k,i_1\dots i_l,j_1\dots j_m}(q^1, \dots, q^{2n})$ is a smooth tensor field symmetric with respect to indices $(i_1, \dots, i_l)$ and antisymmetric with respect to $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$. To simplify the notation we will omit the coordinates $(q^1, \dots, q^{2n})$.

The product of forms like (2.6) is the multiplication (2.2) of elements of the algebra $\mathcal{V}$ and the external product ‘ $\wedge$ ’ of forms.

Definition 2.3. The commutator of the forms $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^{m_1}$ and $b \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^{m_2}$ is a form $[a, b] \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^{m_1+m_2}$ defined by

$$[a, b] := a \circ b - (-1)^{m_1 \cdot m_2} b \circ a. \quad (2.7)$$

The form $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m$ is called *central*, if for every $b \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda$ the commutator $[a, b]$ vanishes. It is possible to prove that only forms which do not contain elements X^i are central.

To construct the $*$ -product on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ requires a new operator $\delta^* : \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^{m-1}$. The full explanation of this step requires representation of $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m$ in a form similar to the Hodge–De Rham decomposition, so we shall present only final formulas.

Definition 2.4. The operator $\delta^* : \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^{m-1}$ fulfills the relation

$$\delta^* a := X^k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^k} \right) \lrcorner a. \quad (2.8)$$

Having δ^* , we define a new operator δ^{-1} as

$$\delta^{-1} := \begin{cases} \frac{\delta^*}{m+l} & \text{for } l+m > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } l+m = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

By l we denote the degree of expression in X^i and by m the degree of the form. The sum $l+m$ is the range of the tensor $a_{k,i_1\dots i_l,j_1\dots j_m}$.

Let us define the parallel transport on $T^*\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.5. The symplectic connection ∇ on the phase space $T^*\mathcal{M}$ is a torsion-free connection satisfying the condition

$$\nabla_X \omega = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where $X \in T(T^*\mathcal{M})$ is a tangent vector to an arbitrary curve along which the form ω is parallel transported.

In a local chart, the expression (2.10) has the form

$$\omega_{ij;k} = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where the semicolon ‘;’ stands for the covariant derivative.

In Darboux coordinates the formula (2.11) is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\omega_{ij;k} = -\Gamma_{ik}^l \omega_{lj} - \Gamma_{jk}^l \omega_{il} = \Gamma_{jik} - \Gamma_{ijk} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

We define

$$\Gamma_{ijk} := \omega_{il} \Gamma_{jk}^l. \quad (2.13)$$

In Darboux coordinates the coefficients Γ_{ijk} are symmetric with respect to indices (i, j, k) .

Theorem 2.2. *A symplectic connection is not unique ([20],[21],[29]). In local coordinates the difference between two symplectic connections Γ_{ijk} and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{ijk}$*

$$\Delta_{ijk} := \Gamma_{ijk} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{ijk} \quad (2.14)$$

is a tensor symmetric with respect to indices (i, j, k) .

Definition 2.6. *The exterior covariant derivative $\partial : \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^{m+1}$ is a linear operator defined by the formula*

$$\partial a := dq^r \wedge a_{;r}, \quad a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m. \quad (2.15)$$

In the original Fedosov paper [20] the operator ∂ is called ‘the connection on the bundle $\mathcal{V}$ ’.

Let d be the exterior derivative and let Γ be the 1– form of connection equal to

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{ijk} X^i X^j dq^k. \quad (2.16)$$

Theorem 2.3. *In a Darboux atlas, the exterior covariant derivative is given by*

$$\partial a = da + \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\Gamma, a]. \quad (2.17)$$

The curvature of the connection Γ is defined as the 2– form

$$R = d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2i\hbar} [\Gamma, \Gamma]. \quad (2.18)$$

In local coordinates

$$R = \frac{1}{4} \omega_{im} R_{jkl}^m X^i X^j dq^k \wedge dq^l, \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$R_{jkl}^m := \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jl}^m}{\partial q^k} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{jk}^m}{\partial q^l} + \Gamma_{jl}^u \Gamma_{uk}^m - \Gamma_{jk}^u \Gamma_{ul}^m. \quad (2.20)$$

The coefficients Γ_{jk}^i are determined by the elements Γ_{ijk} via relation (2.13).

It is possible to show that for every form $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m$, the second exterior covariant derivative is given by

$$\partial(\partial a) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [R, a]. \quad (2.21)$$

To construct the Moyal product we need to create some new ‘flat’ connection on $T^*\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.7. *The connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in the bundle $\mathcal{V}$ is called abelian, if the exterior covariant derivative D defined by the formula*

$$Da := da + \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\tilde{\Gamma}, a], \quad (2.22)$$

fulfills the equation

$$D(Da) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\Omega, a] = 0 \quad (2.23)$$

for every $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda$. Here $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the 1-form representing the abelian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in a Darboux chart $(W_\varrho, \varphi_\varrho)$ and Ω is the curvature of the connection $\tilde{\Gamma}$

$$\Omega := d\tilde{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{2i\hbar}[\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\Gamma}]. \quad (2.24)$$

Formally, any abelian connection is similar to a flat one because in both cases

$$a_{;sd} = a_{;ds} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq s, d \leq 2n.$$

However the abelian curvature can be a central form different from 0.

Let us assume that the exterior covariant derivative with an abelian connection $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can be written in the form

$$Da = \partial a + \frac{1}{i\hbar}[r + \omega_{ij}X^i dq^j, a], \quad (2.25)$$

where $r \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^1$.

One can show that if $\delta^{-1}r = 0$, then r is defined by the recurrent formula

$$r = \delta^{-1}R + \delta^{-1}\left(\partial r + \frac{1}{i\hbar}r^2\right). \quad (2.26)$$

The first element in the series r is the 1-form $\delta^{-1}R$. The square r^2 is computed in the bundle $\mathcal{V}$, so $r^2 = r \circ r$.

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.4. *The set of forms $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda$ such that $Da = 0$ is a subalgebra $\mathcal{V}^D \otimes \Lambda$, of the algebra $\mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda$.*

Let $\sigma(a)$ be a ‘projection’ of a 0-form $a \in \mathcal{V}$ onto the phase space $T^*\mathcal{M}$, i.e. a mapping assigning to a its components that do not contain $X^1, \dots, X^{2n}$. The mapping σ is unique.

It is possible to construct a relation between some subset of smooth functions $\mathcal{F}_S(T^*\mathcal{M})$ on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ and 0-forms belonging to $\mathcal{V}^D$. The mapping from $\mathcal{V}^D$ onto $\mathcal{F}_S(T^*\mathcal{M})$ is just the projection σ to the inverse mapping we will denote by σ^{-1} .

We used the phrase ‘some subset of smooth functions’ because for functions containing negative powers of the deformation parameter $\hbar$ the mapping σ^{-1} may not exist. From the other side, we do not want to restrict ourselves to functions which are series in $\hbar$ because Wigner functions usually contain negative powers of $\hbar$.

Theorem 2.5. *Every smooth function $F \in \mathcal{F}_S(T^*\mathcal{M})$ defines uniquely the element $\sigma^{-1}(F)$ of the bundle $\mathcal{V}^D$ such that $\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(F)) = F$.*

The element $\sigma^{-1}(F)$ fulfills the recurrent relation

$$\sigma^{-1}(F) = F + \delta^{-1} \left(\partial(\sigma^{-1}(F)) + \frac{1}{i\hbar} [r, \sigma^{-1}(F)] \right). \quad (2.27)$$

Now we are able to construct the $*$ -product on $T^*\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.8. *Let $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_S(T^*\mathcal{M})$. The star product of F_1, F_2 is defined by*

$$F_1 * F_2 := \sigma \left(\sigma^{-1}(F_1) \circ \sigma^{-1}(F_2) \right). \quad (2.28)$$

Although the above definition and theorems presented in this section are valid for functions belonging to $\mathcal{F}_S(T^*\mathcal{M})$, we will apply these results to generalized functions.

Let $W(q^i)$ be the functional representing the state of a quantum system. We call it the Wigner function for this state. The average value of an observable $F(q^i)$ in $W(q^i)$ is defined as

$$\langle F \rangle = \int_{T^*\mathcal{M}} W(q^i) * F(q^i) t(q^i) dq, \quad (2.29)$$

where $dq = dq^1 \cdots dq^{2n}$. The integral (2.29) represents the relation

$$\langle \hat{F} \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{F} \cdot \hat{\rho}), \quad (2.30)$$

the operator $\hat{\rho}$ is the density operator. Of course the operation ‘Tr’ does not depend on the order of the operators, so that the same property must be fulfilled by the integral (2.29). The function $t(q^i)$ is responsible for the following fact: for any two functions $F_1(q^i), F_2(q^i)$, for which the integral

$$\int_{T^*\mathcal{M}} F_1(q^i) * F_2(q^i) t(q^i) dq \quad (2.31)$$

is well defined, the following relation holds

$$\int_{T^*\mathcal{M}} \{F_1(q^i), F_2(q^i)\}_M t(q^i) dq = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

The symbol $\{F_1(q^i), F_2(q^i)\}_M$ denotes the Moyal bracket

$$\{F_1(q^i), F_2(q^i)\}_M := \frac{1}{i\hbar} (F_1(q^i) * F_2(q^i) - F_2(q^i) * F_1(q^i)). \quad (2.33)$$

Definition 2.9. *The function*

$$t(q^i) = t_0(q^i) + \hbar t_1(q^i) + \hbar^2 t_2(q^i) + \dots \in C^\infty(T^*\mathcal{M}) \quad (2.34)$$

is called trace density.

The trace density is connected with an object known as the trace of the Weyl algebra.

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{V}_c^D \subset \mathcal{V}^D$ be an ideal consisting of compactly supported flat sections. A linear functional on $\mathcal{V}_c^D$ with values in formal Laurent series with negative powers of $\hbar$ not exceeding $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim T^*\mathcal{M}$ is called a trace if it vanishes on commutators. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Tr} a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{k-n} c_k, \quad (2.35)$$

where $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ are constant coefficients and

$$\mathrm{Tr} (a \circ b) = \mathrm{Tr} (b \circ a) \text{ for } a, b \in \mathcal{V}_c^D. \quad (2.36)$$

Theorem 2.6. For any smooth function $F \in \mathcal{F}_S(T^*\mathcal{M})$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma^{-1}(F))$ exists the following relation holds

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma^{-1}(F)) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-n} \int_{T^*\mathcal{M}} F(q^i) t(q^i) dq. \quad (2.37)$$

The construction of the trace density function in the general case is very complicated. The reader can find the full algorithm in [21]. In our case, it is sufficient to use the following statement:

Theorem 2.7. For every algebra $\mathcal{V}^D$ there exists a trace density (2.34) fulfilling (2.37). The coefficients $t_0(q^i), t_1(q^i), \dots$ are polynomials in the symplectic curvature tensor R_{ijkl} and its covariant derivatives.

3 Properties of the $*$ -product

In this section, we present some general properties of the $*$ -product of functions on $T^*\mathcal{M}$ and of the $\circ$ -multiplication of forms. We are not going to present a systematical review of these concepts. We shall only mention properties used in next paragraphs. Other results can be found in [32].

Theorem 3.8. The commutator $[a, b]$ of forms $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m$ and $b \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^w$ contains only terms with odd number of derivatives in X^i .

Proof

The $\circ$ -product is bilinear and, therefore, it is sufficient to analyze the multiplication of two terms

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_{k,u_1\dots u_l,j_1\dots j_m}(q^1, \dots, q^{2n}) X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l} dq^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{j_m} \\ b &= b_{z,v_1\dots v_d,r_1\dots r_w}(q^1, \dots, q^{2n}) X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_d} dq^{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{r_w}. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation we will omit the variables $(q^1, \dots, q^{2n})$.

From the definition (2.3) of the $\circ$ -product

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ b &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^t \frac{1}{t!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_t p_t} \frac{\partial^t (a_{k, u_1 \dots u_l, j_1 \dots j_m} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_t}} \\ &\times \frac{\partial^t (b_{z, v_1 \dots v_d, r_1 \dots r_w} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_d})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_t}} (dq^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{j_m}) \wedge (dq^{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{r_w}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} b \circ a &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^t \frac{1}{t!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_t p_t} \frac{\partial^t (b_{z, v_1 \dots v_d, r_1 \dots r_w} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_d})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_t}} \\ &\times \frac{\partial^t (a_{k, u_1 \dots u_l, j_1 \dots j_m} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_t}} (dq^{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{r_w}) \wedge (dq^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{j_m}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We sum with respect to the indices $i_1, \dots, i_t, p_1, \dots, p_t$, so that we are able to exchange symbols $i_g \leftrightarrow p_g$ for $g = 1, \dots, t$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^t \frac{1}{t!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_t p_t} \frac{\partial^t (b_{z, v_1 \dots v_d, r_1 \dots r_w} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_d})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_t}} \cdot \frac{\partial^t (a_{k, u_1 \dots u_l, j_1 \dots j_m} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_t}} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^t \frac{1}{t!} \omega^{p_1 i_1} \dots \omega^{p_t i_t} \frac{\partial^t (b_{z, v_1 \dots v_d, r_1 \dots r_w} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_d})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_t}} \cdot \frac{\partial^t (a_{k, u_1 \dots u_l, j_1 \dots j_m} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_t}} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (-1)^t \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^t \frac{1}{t!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_t p_t} \frac{\partial^t (b_{z, v_1 \dots v_d, r_1 \dots r_w} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_d})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_t}} \cdot \frac{\partial^t (a_{k, u_1 \dots u_l, j_1 \dots j_m} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_t}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover,

$$(dq^{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{r_w}) \wedge (dq^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{j_m}) = (-1)^{w \cdot m} (dq^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{j_m}) \wedge (dq^{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{r_w}). \quad (3.4)$$

Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into the definition of commutator $[a, b]$ (see 2.7) we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} [a, b] &= 2 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^{2t+1} \frac{1}{(2t+1)!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_{2t+1} p_{2t+1}} \frac{\partial^{2t+1} (a_{k, u_1 \dots u_l, j_1 \dots j_m} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_{2t+1}}} \\ &\times \frac{\partial^{2t+1} (b_{z, v_1 \dots v_d, r_1 \dots r_w} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_d})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_{2t+1}}} (dq^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{j_m}) \wedge (dq^{r_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dq^{r_w}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

so that only terms with odd number of derivatives in X^i appear in the commutator $[a, b]$. $\blacksquare$

The following corollaries are conclusions of the theorem (3.8):

Corollary 3.1. *The commutator $[a, b]$ of two real forms $a \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^m$ and $b \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \Lambda^w$ is purely imaginary.*

Corollary 3.2. *Assume that the 1-form Γ of the symplectic connection ∇ is real. Then the 1-form $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of the abelian connection*

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma + \omega_{ij} X^i dq^j + r,$$

where r is defined by the formula (2.26) with $\delta^{-1}r = 0$, is also real.

Proof

It is sufficient to show that for a real 1-form Γ the 1-form r is real too.

From the relation (2.18) and the theorem (3.8), we can see that the 2-form of the symplectic curvature R is real for the real Γ . Using the linearity of the operator δ^{-1} , the expression (2.17) of the exterior covariant derivative ∂ and the fact that $r^2 = \frac{1}{2}[r, r]$, we can conclude that r is real. ■

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that the 1-form of connection Γ is real. The 0-form $\sigma^{-1}(F)$ representing the real function F is also real.*

This property is a consequence of the formula (2.27) of the mapping $\sigma^{-1}(F)$, the corollary (3.2) and the theorem (3.8). ■

Theorem 3.9. *The real part of the Moyal product $F_1 * F_2$ of two observables is symmetric and the imaginary part of $F_1 * F_2$ is skew-symmetric under the interchange of the order of functions, i.e.*

$$\text{Re}(F_1 * F_2) = \text{Re}(F_2 * F_1) \quad \text{Im}(F_1 * F_2) = -\text{Im}(F_2 * F_1). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof

From the definition (2.1) of an observable and the corollary (3.3), 0-forms $\sigma^{-1}(F_1)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(F_2)$ are real. In the product $F_1 * F_2$ (from (2.28)), only elements $\sigma^{-1}(F_1) \circ \sigma^{-1}(F_2)$ not including X^i 's are important.

Let us look on the multiplication of two 0-forms

$$a = a_{k,u_1 \dots u_l} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l}, \quad b = b_{z,v_1 \dots v_l} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_l}, \quad (3.7)$$

which appear in $\sigma^{-1}(F_1)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(F_2)$ respectively. Now

$$\sigma(a \circ b) = \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^l \frac{1}{l!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_l p_l} \frac{\partial^l (a_{k,u_1 \dots u_l} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_l}} \cdot \frac{\partial^l (b_{z,v_1 \dots v_l} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_l})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_l}} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\sigma(b \circ a) = \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^l \frac{1}{l!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_l p_l} \frac{\partial^l (b_{z,v_1 \dots v_l} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_l})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_l}} \cdot \frac{\partial^l (a_{k,u_1 \dots u_l} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_l}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Changing the indices $u_s \leftrightarrow v_s$ in the formula (3.9) we obtain

$$\sigma(b \circ a) = \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \right)^l \frac{1}{l!} \omega^{i_1 p_1} \dots \omega^{i_l p_l} \frac{\partial^l (b_{z,v_1 \dots v_l} X^{v_1} \dots X^{v_l})}{\partial X^{i_1} \dots \partial X^{i_l}} \cdot \frac{\partial^l (a_{k,u_1 \dots u_l} X^{u_1} \dots X^{u_l})}{\partial X^{p_1} \dots \partial X^{p_l}}. \quad (3.10)$$

But $\omega^{i_1 p_1} = -\omega^{p_1 i_1}$, so that from (3.8) and (3.10) we can see that

$$\sigma(a \circ b) = (-1)^l \sigma(b \circ a). \quad (3.11)$$

If l is even, the element $\sigma(a \circ b)$ is real, for l odd we have that $\sigma(a \circ b)$ is imaginary. This fact along (3.11) gives us the proof of the theorem 3.9. ■

4 Quantization of a 2-D phase space with constant curvature tensor

Using the information contained in previous sections, we shall accomplish the main task of this contribution, the quantization of momentum and position on the 2-D phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}$ with a constant curvature tensor.

4.1 Symplectic connection ∇ and abelian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $T^*\mathbb{R}$

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be our configuration space. We cover the configuration space by the atlas $\{(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varrho})\}$ consisting of one chart $(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varrho})$. The Riemannian connection characterized by the coefficient Γ_{11}^1 vanishes. In the atlas $\{(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varrho})\}$, information about dynamics of the system is given by the vector from the cotangent space $T_{\mathbf{p}}^*(\mathbb{R})$

$$[\mathbf{p}, (\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varrho}), p], \quad (4.1)$$

where p is the momentum coordinate at the point $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}$ in the natural basis defined by the chart $(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varrho})$. We do not put any constraints on the system, hence its phase space is the cotangent bundle

$$T^*\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}} T_{\mathbf{p}}^*(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.2)$$

This 2-D space is equipped with some symplectic structure ω . The atlas $\{(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varrho})\}$ on the configuration space determines an atlas $\{(\mathbb{R}^2, \varrho)\}$ on the space $T^*\mathbb{R}$. The diffeomorphism ϱ is defined by

$$\varrho(\mathbf{p}) := (q, p) \quad \forall \mathbf{p} \in T^*\mathbb{R}. \quad (4.3)$$

In the chart $(\mathbb{R}^2, \varrho)$ the symplectic form is

$$\omega = dp \wedge dq \quad (4.4)$$

and the Poisson tensor

$$\omega^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

The atlas $\{(\mathbb{R}^2, \varrho)\}$ is an example of the proper Darboux atlas (see [29]). Coordinates (q, p) are called *proper Darboux coordinates* or *induced coordinates*. In all the charts in a proper Darboux atlas on a symplectic manifold $T^*\mathcal{M}$ ($\dim T^*\mathcal{M} = 2n$), the coordinates q^i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, determine points on the basic manifold $\mathcal{M}$ and $q^{i+n} = p_i$ $1 \leq i \leq n$, denote momenta in natural coordinates. The transition functions are the point transformations

$$Q^j = Q^j(q^i) \quad , \quad P_j = \frac{\partial q^i}{\partial Q^j} p_i. \quad (4.6)$$

The relations (4.6) define *proper Darboux transformations*. Proper Darboux charts preserve the difference, obvious from physical point of view, between spatial coordinates and momenta. Topologically, the space $T^*\mathbb{R}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$. Although $T^*\mathbb{R}$ is also

equipped with some additional structure: the symplectic form. Moreover, we do not define any metric structure on the phase space. With the form ω defined as (4.4), we connect the geometric structure on $T^*\mathbb{R}$ characterized by some connection, its torsion and curvature, which will be different from the Riemannian structure of $\mathbb{R}^2$. This is the reason why there is no sense to talk about the shape of $T^*\mathbb{R}$ and to analyze its properties as features of some manifold embedded in the metric space $\mathbb{R}^3$. As we mentioned in the previous section, the symplectic connection is not defined uniquely. In this paper we use the method for the construction of the symplectic connection presented in [29]. Another choice has been proposed in [33]. The index ‘1’ is assigned to the spatial coordinate q and ‘2’ to the momentum p . The construction is performed in the atlas $\{(\mathbb{R}^2, \varrho)\}$. The coefficient Γ_{211} vanishes because this element is determined by the Riemannian connection on the configuration space and we have chosen the chart $(\mathbb{R}, \tilde{\varrho})$ in such a way that $\Gamma_{11}^1 = 0$. The coefficients Γ_{122} and Γ_{222} transform like tensors under proper Darboux transformations and there are not any extra restrictions on them, hence they are chosen to be zero. There is no reasonable physical restrictions on the form of the coefficient Γ_{111} . The case $\Gamma_{111} = 0$ is trivial. We are going to analyze the situation when $\Gamma_{111} = p$, i.e., this coefficient is a linear function of p . The manifold $T^*\mathbb{R}$ is covered by the one chart $(\mathbb{R}^2, \varrho)$, so we need not use a partition of unity to define Γ_{111} globally.

The 1-form of the connection can be written

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}pX^1X^1dq. \quad (4.7)$$

The 2-form of the curvature is given by

$$R = -\frac{1}{2}X^1X^1dq \wedge dp, \quad (4.8)$$

so that, the phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}$ has constant symplectic curvature. The nonvanishing elements of the symplectic curvature tensor are

$$R_{1112} = -R_{1121} = -\frac{1}{4}. \quad (4.9)$$

The Ricci tensor on a symplectic manifold is defined as

$$K_{ij} := \omega^{kl}R_{likj} \quad (4.10)$$

and in our case the only one nontrivial component of K_{ij} is the term

$$K_{11} = \frac{1}{4}. \quad (4.11)$$

The scalar of curvature is given by the contraction

$$K := \omega^{ji}K_{ij}, \quad (4.12)$$

which is equal zero. This result is true for any symplectic manifold (see [34]). Having the 1-form of the connection defined by the expression (4.7), we are able to build the abelian

connection. At the beginning, we compute the series r defined by (2.26). The first term in the recurrent expression (2.26) is

$$\delta^{-1}R = \frac{1}{8}X^1X^1X^2dq - \frac{1}{8}X^1X^1X^1dp. \quad (4.13)$$

Using the theorem (3.8) and the formula (2.26) we can see that in the series r appear two kind of terms only:

$$(-1)^{m+1}a_{2m+1}(X^1)^{2m}X^2dq, \quad (-1)^ma_{2m+1}(X^1)^{2m+1}dp, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}.$$

The element a_3 , being the starting point for $a_{2m+1} \in \mathbb{R}$, is determined by $\delta^{-1}R$ and from (4.13) equals to

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{8}. \quad (4.14)$$

It is easy to check that the coefficients a_{2m+1} for $m > 1$ are given by the recurrent formula

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{1}{2m+2} \sum_{g=1}^{m-1} (2g+1) \cdot a_{2g+1} \cdot a_{2m-2g+1}. \quad (4.15)$$

The explicit form of a_{2m+1} is related to the so called Catalan numbers and it is given by the equation

$$a_{2m+1} = \frac{2}{m} \binom{2m-2}{m-1} \frac{1}{16^m} \quad \text{for} \quad m \geq 1. \quad (4.16)$$

Remembering that the abelian connection $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a sum of three expressions (see (2.25)): Γ, r and $-X^1dp + X^2dq$ we obtain the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma} = & -X^1dp + X^2dq + \frac{1}{2}pX^1X^1dq - \frac{1}{8}X^1X^1X^1dp + \frac{1}{8}X^1X^1X^2dq \\ & + \frac{1}{128}X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1dp - \frac{1}{128}X^1X^1X^1X^1X^2dq \\ & - \frac{1}{1024}X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1dp + \frac{1}{1024}X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1X^2dq - \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

The abelian connection is an infinite series. In none of the terms belonging to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ the Planck constant $\hbar$ appears.

4.2 The eigenvalue equation for momentum p

In the previous section, we found the abelian connection on the symplectic space $T^*\mathbb{R}$ with constant curvature tensor. The expression (4.17) enables us to write eigenvalue equations for observables. Let us start with constructing the explicit form of the eigenvalue equation for momentum p . The general form of this equation is

$$p * W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p) = \mathbf{p} \cdot W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p), \quad (4.18)$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ denotes the eigenvalue of p and $W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$ is the Wigner function for the eigenvalue $\mathbf{p}$. Using the iterative relation (2.27) we find the general formula for $\sigma^{-1}(p)$. After a simple but rather long computation, we arrive to the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{-1}(p) = & p + X^2 + \frac{1}{2}pX^1X^1 + \frac{1}{8}X^1X^1X^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{128}X^1X^1X^1X^1X^2 + \frac{1}{1024}X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1X^1X^2 - \dots\end{aligned}\quad (4.19)$$

Every coefficient b_{2m+1} appearing in the term $b_{2m+1}\underbrace{X^1 \cdots X^1}_{2m}X^2$ for $m > 0$ can be expressed in the form

$$b_{2m+1} = (-1)^{m+1}a_{2m+1}, \quad (4.20)$$

where the numbers a_{2m+1} are defined by (4.15) with the initial condition (4.14).

Much more complicated is the problem of finding the general formula of the series $\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}})$ representing the Wigner function $W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$.

Let us start from the computation of terms including $i\hbar^{2s+1}$ in the eigenvalue equation (4.18). Using (4.19), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}(i\hbar)^{2s+1}A_{2s+1}(q, p) = & \sigma(b_{2s+1}(X_1)^{2s}X_2 \circ w_{0,1,2s}X^1(X^2)^{2s} \\ & + b_{2s-1}(X^1)^{2s-2}X^2 \circ \hbar^2w_{2,1,2s-2}X^1(X^2)^{2s-2} + \dots + X^2 \circ \hbar^{2s}w_{2s,1,0}X^1).\end{aligned}\quad (4.21)$$

The functions $A_s(q, p)$ are the coefficients of the odd powers of $\hbar$ in the expansion of $p*W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$. The coefficients b_s are defined in (4.20). The numbers $w_{a,b,c}$ are the coefficients of $\hbar^a(X^1)^b(X^2)^c$ in the span of $\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p, q))$.

For $s \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar^{2s}w_{2s,1,0}X^1 = & \left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)^{2s}(-1)^{s+1}\frac{(2s)!}{2s+1}b_{2s+1}w_{0,1,2s}X^1 + \dots \\ & + \left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)^{2s}(-1)^{1+1}\frac{(2 \cdot 1)!}{2 \cdot 1 + 1}b_3w_{2s-2,1,2}X^1.\end{aligned}\quad (4.22)$$

Carrying (4.22) into (4.21) we obtain

$$A_{2s+1}(q, p) = 0 \quad \text{for } s \geq 1. \quad (4.23)$$

It means that the only complex term appearing in the product $p*W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$ is the element

$$i\hbar A_1(q, p) = i\hbar \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)}{\partial q}. \quad (4.24)$$

The proof of (4.23) and (4.24) can be found in Appendix A. The Wigner function must be real, hence the element $A_1(q, p)$ vanishes.

We conclude that the function $W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$ depends only on p . Instead of looking for the general form of the expression $\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p))$, we are interested in the formula for

$\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p))$. In $\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p))$ only terms with even power of $\hbar$ appear and they can be written in the recurrent form

$$w_{2k,0,c} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{dw_{2k,0,c-1}}{dp} + \sum_{t=1}^k \frac{1}{4^t} \frac{(2t+c)!}{c!} a_{2t+1} w_{2k-2t,0,2t+c}, \quad k, c \geq 0. \quad (4.25)$$

The coefficients a_{2t+1} are defined by (4.14) and (4.15). For $k=0$, we put the sum in (4.25) equal 0. Moreover, it is possible to prove that the projection σ keeps from the product $\sigma^{-1}(p) \circ \sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p))$ only terms of the form

$$\sigma \left(\frac{1}{2} p X^1 X^1 \circ w_{2k,0,2} \hbar^{2k} X^2 X^2 \right) = -\frac{\hbar^{2k+2}}{4} p w_{2k,0,2}. \quad (4.26)$$

Taking into account (4.24) and (4.26), we arrive to the conclusion that the eigenvalue equation for $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ is the infinite differential equation

$$p \cdot \left(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) - \frac{1}{8} \hbar^2 \frac{d^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp^2} - \frac{1}{128} \hbar^4 \frac{d^4 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp^4} - \dots \right) = \mathbf{p} \cdot W_{\mathbf{p}}(p). \quad (4.27)$$

Notice that, although the product $p * W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ is abelian, the expression on the left side of the equation (4.27) is not simply $p \cdot W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$.

The eigenvalue equation for momentum p is a differential equation of infinite degree. There is no general method of solving such equations. Therefore, we decided to look for the solution of the eigenvalue equation of p^2 . As $p \cdot p = p * p$ (which is not true for $p \cdot p \cdot p \neq p * p * p$), the Wigner function fulfilling the equation (2.24) satisfies also the relation

$$p^2 * W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \mathbf{p}^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p). \quad (4.28)$$

After a long but elementary computation (see Appendix B), we can see that the above relation is the modified Bessel equation

$$\frac{1}{4} \hbar^2 p^2 \frac{d^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp^2} + \frac{1}{4} \hbar^2 p \frac{dW_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp} + (\mathbf{p}^2 - p^2) W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = 0. \quad (4.29)$$

The general solution of (4.29) is a linear combination of the following form

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = A \cdot I_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{\hbar}\right) + B \cdot K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{\hbar}\right) \quad (4.30)$$

where $I_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}}(\frac{2p}{\hbar})$ is the modified Bessel function with complex parameter $\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}$ and $K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}}(\frac{2p}{\hbar})$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind with parameter $\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}$. This solution is defined for arguments $\frac{2p}{\hbar} > 0$ (see [35]).

Notice that the deformation parameter $\hbar$ appears in the denominator of the argument. We must be very careful because this fact may cause the nonexistence of the series $\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p))$.

Until this moment, it was not necessary to use any explicit form of the functional action $\langle W(q, p), F(q, p) \rangle$ where $F(q, p)$ is some function on $T^*\mathbb{R}$. In the general case

$$\langle W(q, p), F(q, p) \rangle := \int_{T^*\mathbb{R}} W(q, p) * F(q, p) t(q, p) dq dp. \quad (4.31)$$

From theorem 2.7 we can see that in our example the trace density $t(q, p)$ is constant, so we can write

$$t(q, p) = 1. \quad (4.32)$$

The straightforward consequence of this fact is that the $*$ -product used by us is closed ([36], [37]).

Let us come back to the equation (4.30). The function $A \cdot I_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{h}}(\frac{2p}{h})$ is complex. Its real part grows up to infinity for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and hence it is not normalizable. The imaginary part of $I_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{h}}(\frac{2p}{h})$ is proportional to $K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{h}}(\frac{2p}{h})$. Because of these reasons we affirm that the only physically acceptable solution of (4.29) is

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = B \cdot K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{h}}(\frac{2p}{h}). \quad (4.33)$$

However, solutions defined on the whole $\mathbb{R}$ are required. It is impossible to define the solution of the equation (4.29) on the whole axis. The problem is that the modified Bessel function of the second kind is not defined for the value of the argument $p = 0$. Moreover, $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{h}}(\frac{2p}{h})$ does not exist.

Let us assume that the Wigner function $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ is some generalized function over the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(p)$ of test smooth functions tending to 0 for $p \rightarrow \pm\infty$ faster than the inverse of any polynomial.

We define the Wigner function $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ as:

4.i. for $\mathbf{p} < 0$ we put

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{\pi h} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{h} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{h}}(\frac{-2p}{h}) & \text{for } p < 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } p \geq 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.34)$$

4.ii. For $\mathbf{p} > 0$, we have

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p \leq 0 \\ \frac{4}{\pi h} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{h} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{h}}(\frac{2p}{h}) & \text{for } p > 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.35)$$

4.iii. and finally for $\mathbf{p} = 0$:

$$W_0(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi h} K_0(\frac{-2p}{h}) & \text{for } p < 0 \\ \frac{2}{\pi h} K_0(\frac{2p}{h}) & \text{for } p > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.36)$$

The coefficients $\frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar}$ or $\frac{2}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar}$ appear as the result of normalization, i.e. we require that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 \cdot W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) dp = 1. \quad (4.37)$$

The reason for not integrating over dq is that our Wigner function does not depend on q . We can see that the Wigner eigenfunction of momentum p is a locally summable function.

As we mentioned before for $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$ there is no $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}}(\frac{2p}{\hbar})$. However, the functions $\frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}}(\frac{-2p}{\hbar})$ and $\frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}}(\frac{2p}{\hbar})$ are bounded. The consequence of this fact is that (4.34) and (4.35) are solutions of (4.29).

Indeed, let $\mathbf{p} > 0$. For every test function $\varphi(p) \in \mathcal{S}(p)$ we have

$$\langle \langle p \frac{dW_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp}, \varphi(p) \rangle \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} p \frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} \right) \frac{d}{dp} \left(K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) \right) \varphi(p) dp.$$

Integrating the above expression by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \langle p \frac{dW_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp}, \varphi(p) \rangle \rangle &= p \frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} \right) K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) \varphi(p) \Big|_0^{\infty} \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} \right) K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) \cdot \left(\varphi + p \frac{d\varphi(p)}{dp} \right) dp. \end{aligned}$$

The expression $p \frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} \right) K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) \varphi(p) \Big|_0^{\infty}$ vanishes. Thus, we can see that as generalized function

$$p \frac{dW_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p \leq 0 \\ p \frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} \frac{d}{dp} \left(K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) \right) & \text{for } p > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.38)$$

Analogously, we can show that

$$p^2 \frac{d^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp^2} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p \leq 0 \\ p^2 \frac{4}{\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{\hbar} \frac{d^2}{dp^2} \left(K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) \right) & \text{for } p > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.39)$$

Putting (4.38) and (4.39) into (4.29), we arrive to the conclusion that (4.35) really fulfills (4.29). For $\mathbf{p} < 0$ the proof is analogous.

If $\mathbf{p} = 0$ we have the limit

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} K_0 \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) = \infty$$

but

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} p K_0 \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} p^2 \frac{d}{dp} K_0 \left(\frac{2p}{\hbar} \right) = 0.$$

Thus following the way presented in the above proof, we see that also (4.36) is the solution of (4.29) for $\mathbf{p} = 0$.

To be precise, at this moment we only know that the generalized functions (4.34)–(4.36) are solutions of (4.29). To give a physical interpretation to (4.34)–(4.36), we must prove that series $\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p))$ exist for every $\mathbf{p}$ and that they fulfill not only (4.29) but also (4.27). The general expression for $\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p))$ for every of the solutions (4.34)–(4.36) is very complicated so we concentrate only on those terms appearing in the product $\sigma^{-1}(p) \circ \sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(p))$. As we have shown, the expression for $w_{2k,0,2}$ is defined by (4.25). Introducing a new variable $z = \frac{2p}{h}$, we can see that the coefficient of $X^2 X^2$ equals to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^k a_{2k+1} \frac{d^{2k} W_{\mathbf{p}}(z)}{dz^{2k}}. \quad (4.40)$$

We first consider the case $\mathbf{p} = 0$. From (4.16) we can see that it is necessary to analyze the expression

$$TW_0(z) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{m} \binom{2m-2}{m-1} \frac{1}{4^m} \frac{d^{2m} W_0(z)}{dz^{2m}}. \quad (4.41)$$

We know that $W_0(z)$ is a tempered distribution and we would like to prove that so is $TW_0(z)$. To do that, we use the Fourier transform. To avoid any misunderstandings we remind the basic definitions and results connecting with the Fourier transform (compare [38]).

For every $\varphi(p) \in \mathcal{S}(p)$

$$\mathcal{F}[\varphi](\lambda) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-2\pi i \lambda p} \varphi(p) dp. \quad (4.42)$$

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}[U](\lambda)$ of a tempered distribution is a tempered distribution fulfilling the equation

$$\langle \mathcal{F}[U], \varphi \rangle = \langle U, \mathcal{F}[\varphi] \rangle, \quad (4.43)$$

for every test function $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$. The consequences of (4.42) and (4.43) are the following relations

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{d^m U}{dp^m}\right] = (2\pi i \lambda)^m \mathcal{F}[U], \quad (4.44)$$

$$\mathcal{F}[\delta] = 1, \quad (4.45)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{d^m \delta}{dp^m}\right] = (2\pi i \lambda)^m. \quad (4.46)$$

Let us compute now the Fourier transform of (4.41). Using (4.44) we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}[TW_0(z)] = \left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{m} \binom{2m-2}{m-1} \frac{1}{4^m} (2\pi i \lambda)^{2m}\right) \mathcal{F}[W_0(z)]. \quad (4.47)$$

The infinite power series

$$1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{m} \binom{2m-2}{m-1} \frac{1}{4^m} (2\pi i \lambda)^{2m}$$

is the MacLaurin series of the function

$$\sqrt{1 + (2\pi\lambda)^2}. \quad (4.48)$$

Hence, formally

$$\mathcal{F}[TW_0(z)] = \sqrt{1 + (2\pi\lambda)^2} \mathcal{F}[W_0(z)]. \quad (4.49)$$

Also, we know that [39]

$$\mathcal{F}[W_0(z)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (2\pi\lambda)^2}} \quad (4.50)$$

which finally gives that

$$\mathcal{F}[TW_0(z)] = 1. \quad (4.51)$$

With (4.45) we conclude that

$$TW_0(z) = \delta(z). \quad (4.52)$$

We have proved that $TW_0(z)$ is really a tempered distribution. It is obvious that $\frac{2}{h}\delta(\frac{2p}{h})$ is the solution of (4.27) for $\mathbf{p} = 0$ and we remember that the equation (4.27) is a straightforward consequence of the fact, that the coefficient at X^2X^2 is equal to (4.41).

Doing similar computations, it is possible to prove that $TW_{\mathbf{p}}(z)$ is a tempered distribution also for $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$ and that the equation (4.27) is fulfilled. Moreover, in those cases the relation (4.27) may be interpreted as a regularization of the distribution $TW_{\mathbf{p}}(z)$.

We were able to use the Fourier transform because the cotangent space $T_Q^*\mathbb{R}$ in every point Q belonging to the configuration space is a metric space.

The constant and negative curvature on the phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}$ divides this space in two separable subspaces: with positive values of the momentum and with negative ones respectively. The Wigner eigenvalue functions $W_{\mathbf{p}}$ with positive $\mathbf{p}$ vanish for $p < 0$ and with negative $\mathbf{p}$ $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ is zero for $p > 0$. Only the solution for the eigenvalue $\mathbf{p} = 0$ is defined on the whole axis $\mathbb{R}$. The Wigner function $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ depends only on the variable p , but it is not a distribution function in statistical sense [15]. For some positively defined observables $F(p)$

$$\langle W_{\mathbf{p}}(p), F(p) \rangle < 0.$$

The Wigner eigenfunctions (4.34)–(4.36) fulfill orthogonality relations

$$\langle \langle W_{\mathbf{p}_1}(p) * W_{\mathbf{p}}(p), \varphi(\mathbf{p}, p) \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(\mathbf{p}_1, p) dp \quad (4.53)$$

for every $\varphi(\mathbf{p}, p) \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbf{p}, p)$.

The behavior of the Wigner eigenfunctions for $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$ on both sides of the point 0 seems to be completely different, but in fact due to fast oscillations of the Bessel functions in a neighborhood of 0 their contribution to integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) \varphi(p) dp$$

is negligible.

The example analyzed before is a particular choice of G in the 2-form of curvature

$$R = -G^2 X^1 X^1 dq \wedge dp, \quad (4.54)$$

where G is some positive constant.

In such a case the spectrum of the observable p is the whole axis $\mathbb{R}$ and the Wigner functions are generalized functions:

4.iv. for $\mathbf{p} < 0$

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{G\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar}}\left(\frac{-2p}{G\hbar}\right) & \text{for } p < 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } p \geq 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.55)$$

4.v. for $\mathbf{p} > 0$ we have

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p \leq 0 \\ \frac{4}{G\pi\hbar} \cosh \frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar} K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{G\hbar}\right) & \text{for } p > 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.56)$$

4.vi. and for $\mathbf{p} = 0$ we put

$$W_{\mathbf{0}}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{G\pi\hbar} K_0\left(\frac{-2p}{G\hbar}\right) & \text{for } p < 0 \\ \frac{2}{G\pi\hbar} K_0\left(\frac{2p}{G\hbar}\right) & \text{for } p > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.57)$$

For $G \rightarrow 0^+$ all of these solutions tend to $\delta(p - \mathbf{p})$ (for details see the Appendix C). This result is natural because for $G = 0$ the phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}$ is simply $\mathbb{R}^2$.

In a similar way we deal with the space $T^*\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the curvature form

$$R = U^2 X^1 X^1 dq \wedge dp, \quad (4.58)$$

where U , as before, is some positive constant.

The eigenvalue equation for p^2 is

$$p^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) + \frac{1}{4} U^2 \hbar^2 p \frac{dW_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp} + \frac{1}{4} U^2 \hbar^2 p^2 \frac{d^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)}{dp^2} = \mathbf{p}^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p). \quad (4.59)$$

The general solution of it is

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = A \cdot J_{\frac{2\mathbf{p}}{U\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar}\right) + B \cdot Y_{\frac{2\mathbf{p}}{U\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar}\right) \quad (4.60)$$

where A, B are some real numbers and $J_{\frac{2\mathbf{p}}{U\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar}\right)$ and $Y_{\frac{2\mathbf{p}}{U\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar}\right)$ are the Bessel functions of the first and second kind, respectively.

It seems that every real number is the eigenvalue of momentum p and the Wigner eigenfunctions are generalized functions

4.vii. for $\mathbf{p} < 0$

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2|\mathbf{p}|}{U\hbar}}\left(\frac{-2p}{U\hbar}\right) & \text{for } p < 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } p \geq 0; \end{cases} \quad (4.61)$$

4.viii. for $\mathbf{p} > 0$

$$W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } p \leq 0 \\ \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2\mathbf{p}}{U\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar}\right) & \text{for } p > 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.62)$$

4.ix. and for $\mathbf{p} = 0$

$$W_{\mathbf{0}}(p) = \frac{1}{U\hbar} J_0\left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar}\right). \quad (4.63)$$

Unlike the case of the phase space with curvature (4.54), the momentum p is not a test function for (4.61)–(4.63). The generalized functions (4.61)–(4.63) fulfill the distributed eigenvalue equation (4.18) although the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} p \cdot W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) dp \quad (4.64)$$

is not convergent. The generalized functions (4.61)–(4.63) tend to $\delta(p - \mathbf{p})$ for $U \rightarrow 0^+$. Notice that we can obtain results almost immediately (4.61)–(4.63) substituting into (4.55)–(4.57) $G = iU$ or $G = -iU$. It is natural because (4.54) turns into (4.58) for $G = iU$ or $G = -iU$.

4.3 Quantization of position q

Let us consider the problem of eigenvalues and Wigner eigenfunctions of the position q on the manifold $T^*\mathbb{R}$ with a constant curvature of the kind (4.54). The generalization of the results obtained in the case of constant curvature (4.58) is straightforward.

Let us assume, as in the previous paragraph, that G is some positive constant. The eigenvalue equation

$$q * W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, p) = \mathbf{q} W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, p) \quad (4.65)$$

for the position q separates in two parts: the real part

$$q \cdot W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, p) = \mathbf{q} W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, p) \quad (4.66)$$

and the imaginary part

$$\frac{1}{2}\hbar \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, p)}{\partial p} + \frac{1}{48} G^2 \hbar^3 \frac{\partial^3 W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, p)}{\partial p^3} + \dots = 0, \quad (4.67)$$

where the symbol $\mathbf{q}$ denotes the eigenvalue of the position q .

Let us start with the equation (4.67). Multiplying it by $\frac{2}{\hbar}$, introducing a new variable $z = \frac{p}{G\hbar}$ and defining $w_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z) := \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z)}{\partial z}$, we obtain the formula

$$w_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z) + \frac{1}{24} \frac{\partial^2 w_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z)}{\partial z^2} + \dots = 0. \quad (4.68)$$

This is in fact a homogeneous linear differential equation of infinite degree. Its solution does not depend on the parameter $\mathbf{q}$ and the factor G . The $\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} w_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z)$ must be 0, so the only one acceptable solution of (4.68) is $w_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z) = 0$ for every $\mathbf{q}$. We see that the Wigner eigenfunction $W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z)$ depends only on q . From (4.66) we immediately obtain that

$$W_{\mathbf{q}}(q, z) = \delta(q - \mathbf{q}) \quad \forall \mathbf{q}. \quad (4.69)$$

After similar considerations for the space with 2-form of curvature (4.58), we conclude that the eigenvalues of the observable q are all of them real numbers and that their Wigner eigenfunctions are of the form (4.69). The eigenfunctions $W_{\mathbf{q}}(q)$ depend only on q and possess the same form as for the flat space $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\Gamma = 0$.

5 Conclusions

In the case studied by us the $\ast$ -product of the Weyl type is a closed product. Spectra of both observables q, p are continuous and equal to $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover in the limit for the 2-form of curvature tending to $0^\mp$, Wigner eigenfunctions go to the flat solutions $\delta(p - \mathbf{p})$ and $\delta(q - \mathbf{q})$.

The Moyal bracket of q and p is

$$\{q, p\}_M = 1 \quad (5.1)$$

which is the same as in the flat case and it is equal to the Poisson bracket $\{q, p\}_P$.

It is known (see [40, 41]) that on every 2-D symplectic space all of $\ast$ -products are equivalent, i.e. that for every two products $\ast_{(1)}$ and $\ast_{(2)}$ there exists such a differential operator $\hat{T}$ that

$$F(q, p) \ast_{(1)} G(q, p) = \hat{T}^{-1} \left(\hat{T} F(q, p) \ast_{(2)} \hat{T} G(q, p) \right). \quad (5.2)$$

On the manifold $T^*\mathbb{R}$ we could define $\ast$ -product as

$$F(q, p) \ast G(q, p) := F(q, p) \exp \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \right) G(q, p) \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} := \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial q} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial p} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial p} \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial q}. \quad (5.4)$$

Such a definition of the $\ast$ -product is used on $\mathbb{R}^2$ in a system of coordinates in which the 1-form of the connection vanishes.

It is much easier to do any computations using the product defined by the formula (5.3) than those proposed by us. However, the explicit form of the operator $\hat{T}$ is unknown in general as there is no a constructive form to define it. In fact it is more logical to do all of calculations in a physically motivated coordinate system although these computations may be complicated.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Przanowski for interest in this work and fruitful discussions and to K. Penson, L.M. Nieto and M. Dobrski for their independent deductions of formula (4.16). This work has been partially supported by DGES of the Ministerio de Educación y Cultura of Spain under Project PB98-0360, by DGI of the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología of Spain (project BMF2002-02000 and BMF2002-03773), the Programme FEDER of the European Community, and the Junta de Castilla y León (project VA085/02) (Spain). J. T. acknowledges a la Secretaría del Ministerio de Educación y Cultura of Spain for the grant (SB2000-0129) that supports his stay in the Universidad de Valladolid and the Batory Foundation of Poland. J.T. also grateful to the members of the Departamento de Física Teórica de la Universidad de Valladolid (Spain) for warm hospitality.

Appendix A: Proof of the formulas (4.23) and (4.24)

The 0-form, $\sigma^{-1}(p)$, belonging to the bundle $\mathcal{V}^D$ can be written as

$$\sigma^{-1}(p) = p + \frac{1}{2}pX^1X^1 + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_{2s+1}(X^1)^{2s}X^2, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the coefficients b_{2s+1} , $s \geq 1$ are defined by the formula (4.20). For $s = 0$, we choose $b_1 = 1$. The factors b_{2s+1} are real numbers and they do not depend on the deformation parameter $\hbar$.

We know that the Wigner eigenfunction $W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$ is real and differentiable in the sense of theory of distributions. It means that in general

$$\sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{2s} w_{2s,a,b}(X^1)^a (X^2)^b. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The coefficients $w_{2s,a,b}$ are some real distributions over the test functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^2$. The real character of $w_{2s,a,b}$ is a straightforward consequence of (3.3). From the same corollary, we deduce that only even powers of $\hbar$ appear in (A.2).

The eigenvalue equation for momenta (4.18) is a formal series in $\hbar$

$$p * W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (i\hbar)^s A_s(q, p). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The functions $A_s(q, p)$ with $0 \leq s$ depend on $p, W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$ and their derivatives. We are interested in the explicit form of $A_s(q, p)$ for odd values of s . From the definition of the star product, we obviously have

$$p * W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p) = \sigma \left(\sigma^{-1}(p) \circ \sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)) \right). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

From the expression $\sigma^{-1}(p) \circ \sigma^{-1}(W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p))$ only elements not containing X 's give a contribution to $A_s(q, p)$. It means that an element from the series $\sigma^{-1}(p)$ containing $(X^1)^a (X^2)^b$

gives a contribution to $p * W_{\mathbf{p}}(q, p)$ only if it is multiplied by $w_{2s,a,b}(X^1)^b(X^2)^a$. Moreover, to obtain the functions $A_{2s+1}(q, p)$ with odd index $2s + 1$ the additional condition $b + a = 2k + 1$, $k \leq s$ must be fulfilled. Looking at (4.19), we see that

$$(i\hbar)^{2s+1}A_{2s+1}(q, p) = \sigma(b_{2s+1}(X^1)^{2s}X^2 \circ w_{0,1,2s}X^1(X^2)^{2s} + b_{2s-1}(X^1)^{2s-2}X^2 \circ \hbar^2 w_{2,1,2s-2}X^1(X^2)^{2s-2} + \dots + X^2 \circ \hbar^{2s} w_{2s,1,0}X^1). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Let us analyze more carefully the term $\sigma(b_{2p+1}(X^1)^{2p}X^2 \circ w_{2k,1,2p}X^1(X^2)^{2p})$. From the definition (2.3) of $\circ$ -product we see that

$$\sigma(b_{2p+1}(X^1)^{2p}X^2 \circ w_{2k,1,2p}X^1(X^2)^{2p}) = b_{2p+1}w_{2k,1,2p}(\omega^{12})^{2p}\omega^{21}\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^{2p+1}\frac{(2p)!(2p)!}{(2p+1)!}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Using (4.5) we obtain that formula (A.6) equals

$$(-1)b_{2p+1}w_{2k,1,2p}\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^{2p+1}\frac{(2p)!}{2p+1}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We see that the right side of the equation (A.5) is the sum

$$\sum_{p=0}^s (-1)b_{2p+1}w_{2s-2p,1,2p}\hbar^{2s-2p}\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^{2p+1}\frac{(2p)!}{2p+1}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Comparing (A.5) and (A.8) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2s+1}(q, p) &= \sum_{p=0}^s (-1)b_{2p+1}w_{2s-2p,1,2p}\frac{1}{i^{2s-2p}}\frac{1}{2^{2p+1}}\frac{(2p)!}{2p+1} \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^s (-1)^{s-p+1}b_{2p+1}w_{2s-2p,1,2p}\frac{1}{2^{2p+1}}\frac{(2p)!}{2p+1} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{2}\left(w_{2s,1,0} + \sum_{p=1}^s (-1)^{-p}b_{2p+1}w_{2s-2p,1,2p}\frac{1}{2^{2p}}\frac{(2p)!}{2p+1}\right). \quad (\text{A.9}) \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the element $\hbar^{2s}w_{2s,1,0}X^1$. From the recurrent relation (2.27) using the definition (2.9) of the operator δ^{-1} , we deduce that only three kinds of terms may generate this element.

- The derivative $\frac{\partial w_{2s,0,0}}{\partial q}$. Here we should note that this term is different from 0 only for $s = 0$. Indeed, the elements $w_{2s,0,0}$, $s > 0$, can be obtained only from terms on which the operator δ^{-1} acts. This operator generates X 's.
- The element $w_{2s,1,0}$ itself. Note that the following commutator gives

$$[X^2 dq, w_{2s,1,0}X^1] = 2\omega^{21}\left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)w_{2s,1,0}dq \stackrel{(4.5)}{=} -(i\hbar)w_{2s,1,0}dq. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

- The expressions $w_{2s-2p,1,2p}$, $s \geq p \geq 1$, through their commutators with r (2.26). Indeed in the commutator $[r, w_{2s-2p,1,2p}]$ the following element also appears

$$2(-1)^{p+1} a_{2p+1} w_{2s-2p,1,2p} (\omega^{12})^{2p} \omega^{21} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^{2p+1} \frac{1}{(2p+1)!} (2p)!(2p)! dq,$$

and using (4.5) and (4.20) we can write the above expression as

$$- b_{2p+1} w_{2s-2p,1,2p} \frac{1}{2^{2p}} (i\hbar)^{2p+1} \frac{(2p)!}{2p+1} dq. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Taking together (A.10) and (A.11) we see that for $s \geq 1$

$$\hbar^{2s} w_{2s,1,0} X^1 = \frac{\delta^{-1}}{i\hbar} \left(-i\hbar \hbar^{2s} w_{2s,1,0} dq - \sum_{p=1}^s b_{2p+1} w_{2s-2p,1,2p} \frac{1}{2^{2p}} (i\hbar)^{2p+1} \frac{(2p)!}{2p+1} dq \right).$$

So, finally

$$w_{2s,1,0} X^1 = - \sum_{p=1}^s (-1)^p b_{2p+1} w_{2s-2p,1,2p} \frac{1}{2^{2p}} \frac{(2p)!}{2p+1}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Putting (A.12) into (A.9) we obtain that

$$A_{2s+1}(q, p) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} w_{0,1,0} & \text{if } s = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Appendix B: Proof of the relation (4.29)

Let us start our considerations with the analysis of the recurrent formula

$$c_m = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} C(i, m-i) c_i c_{m-i} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the coefficients $C(i, m-i)$ fulfill the following relation:

$$C(i, m-i) + C(m-i, i) = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

It is easy to note that the coefficients a_{2m+1} defined by (4.15) with the initial condition $a_3 = \frac{1}{8}$ are of the kind (B.1). We need only to write

$$c_m = a_{2m+1} \quad \text{for} \quad m \geq 1.$$

Due to the fact that the equation (B.2) holds, each formula of the form (B.1) is equivalent to the following one

$$c_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i c_{m-i}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The eigenvalue equation for the momentum p (4.27) may be written as

$$-p \cdot LW_{\mathbf{p}}(p) = \mathbf{p}W_{\mathbf{p}}(p), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where L denotes the differential operator

$$L = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{2i+1} \hbar^i \frac{d^{2i}}{dp^{2i}} \right). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The coefficients a_{2i+1} for $i \geq 1$ are defined by the formula (4.16). For $i = 0$ we put $a_1 = -1$.

The eigenvalue equation for $p^2 = p * p$ is

$$p \cdot L(p \cdot LW_{\mathbf{p}}(p)) = \mathbf{p}^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The relation holds

$$\frac{d^k p U(p)}{dp^k} = p \frac{d^k U(p)}{dp^k} + k \frac{d^{k-1} U(p)}{dp^{k-1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $U(p)$ denotes a differentiable function of p . Applying (B.5) and (B.7) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot L(p \cdot LW_{\mathbf{p}}(p)) &= p^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{2m+1} a_{2k+1} \hbar^{2m+2k} \frac{d^{2m+2k} W(p)}{dp^{2m+2k}} \\ &+ 2p \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m a_{2m+1} a_{2k+1} \hbar^{2m+2k} \frac{d^{2m+2k-1} W(p)}{dp^{2m+2k-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where the coefficient of $\hbar^{2n} \frac{d^{2n} W(p)}{dp^{2n}}$ is

$$s_{2n} = p^2 \sum_{m=0}^n a_{2m+1} a_{2n-2m+1}. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

In the case $n > 0$ we can write (B.9) as

$$s_{2n} = p^2 \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} a_{2m+1} a_{2n-2m+1} + 2a_{2n+1} a_1 \right). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The factors a_{2m+1} fulfill the recurrent relation (B.3) and $a_1 = -1$. Hence, we obtain that

$$s_{2n} = \begin{cases} p^2 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{4}p^2 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } n > 1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

By r_{2n} we will denote the coefficients appearing in (B.8) associated with $\hbar^{2n} \frac{d^{2n-1} W(p)}{dp^{2n-1}}$

$$r_{2n} = 2p \sum_{m=0}^n m a_{2m+1} a_{2n-2m+1}. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

For $n > 0$ we can write

$$r_{2n} = 2p \left(\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m a_{2m+1} a_{2n-2m+1} + n a_{2n+1} a_1 \right). \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Again the sum on the right side is an expression of the type (B.3) $\frac{m+(n-m)}{n} = 1$. So, we can see that

$$r_{2n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ -\frac{1}{4}p & \text{for } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } n > 1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Taking together (B.11) and (B.14) we finally obtain that

$$p \cdot L(p \cdot L W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)) = p^2 W_{\mathbf{p}}(p) - \frac{1}{4} p \hbar^2 \frac{dW(p)}{dp} - \frac{1}{4} p^2 \hbar^2 \frac{d^2 W(p)}{dp^2}. \quad (\text{B.15})$$

Appendix C: The flat limit of Wigner eigenfunctions for momentum $\mathbf{p}$

Let us consider first the phase space with a constant curvature tensor like (4.54). For the eigenvalue $\mathbf{p} > 0$, the Wigner eigenfunction $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ is defined by the formula (4.56). The Fourier transform of $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ equals (see (4.42))

$$\mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \frac{4}{G\pi\hbar} \cosh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) K_{\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar}}\left(\frac{2p}{G\hbar}\right) e^{-2\pi i\lambda p} dp. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Using the Mathematica programme we can see that

$$\mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) \sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar} - \frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G \hbar \lambda)\right). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Let us compute the limit of the function $\mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda)$ for $G \rightarrow 0^+$ in the distributional sense. Take the test function $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$. The relation holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar} - \frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G \hbar \lambda)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G \hbar \lambda)\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G \hbar \lambda)\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

For any real $\mathbf{p}, G, \hbar, \lambda$ the following inequalities are true

$$\left| \exp\left(-\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G \hbar \lambda)\right) \right| \leq 1, \quad \left| \exp\left(\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G \hbar \lambda)\right) \right| \leq 1. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

Then,

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda), \varphi(\lambda) \rangle\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda) \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Using (C.3), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda) \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G\hbar\lambda)\right)}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) \sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G\hbar\lambda)\right)}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right) \sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

We have the estimation (see (C.4))

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G\hbar\lambda)\right)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \right| < \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\lambda)| d\lambda. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

The function $\varphi(\lambda)$ belongs to the Schwartz space, so the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\varphi(\lambda)| d\lambda$ is convergent. Let it be equal to A . It means that the absolute value of the second term of the integral (C.6) is not bigger than $\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right)}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right)} A$. But

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right)}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right)} A = 0, \quad (\text{C.8})$$

hence, in the limit $G \rightarrow 0^+$ only the first integral in (C.6) can be different from 0.

Let us analyze the expression

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{2i\mathbf{p}}{G\hbar} \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G\hbar\lambda)\right)}{\sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (\text{C.9})$$

appearing in the first part of $\langle \mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda), \varphi(\lambda) \rangle$ (C.5). Introducing the new variable defined by $z = \frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}(\pi G\hbar\lambda)}{G\pi\hbar}$ and remembering that $\frac{d \operatorname{arcsinh}(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}$ we obtain that (C.9) is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-2i\pi\mathbf{p}z) \varphi\left(\frac{\sinh(\pi G\hbar z)}{\pi G\hbar}\right) dz. \quad (\text{C.10})$$

The following property holds

$$\left| \frac{\sinh(\pi G\hbar z)}{\pi G\hbar} \right| \geq |z|. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

The function $\varphi(z)$ belongs to the Schwartz space, hence for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it is possible to find a real number z_0 so that for every z fulfilling the inequality $|z| > z_0$ the relation

$$|\varphi(z)| < \left| \frac{1}{z^k} \right| \quad (\text{C.12})$$

holds. According to (C.11), if $|z| > z_0$, it is also true that

$$\left| \varphi\left(\frac{\sinh(\pi G\hbar z)}{\pi G\hbar}\right) \right| < \left| \frac{1}{z^k} \right|. \quad (\text{C.13})$$

Let $k = 2$. The function

$$F(z) := \begin{cases} \max_{(-\infty, +\infty)} |\varphi| & \text{for } |z| < z_0, \\ \frac{1}{z^2} & \text{for } |z| > z_0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{C.14})$$

is integrable and for all $G > 0$

$$\left| \varphi \left(\frac{\sinh(\pi G \hbar z)}{\pi G \hbar} \right) \right| \leq F(z) \quad (\text{C.15})$$

and the Lebesgue theorem ([42]) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-2i\pi \mathbf{p} z) \varphi \left(\frac{\sinh(\pi G \hbar z)}{\pi G \hbar} \right) dz \right] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \exp(-2i\pi \mathbf{p} z) \varphi \left(\frac{\sinh(\pi G \hbar z)}{\pi G \hbar} \right) \right] dz. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.16})$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sinh(\pi G \hbar z)}{\pi G \hbar} = z \quad (\text{C.17})$$

and, finally, we can write the limit of the integral (C.10) when $G \rightarrow 0^+$ as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-2i\pi \mathbf{p} z) \varphi(z) dz. \quad (\text{C.18})$$

Using the relation

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right)}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}\pi}{G\hbar}\right)} = 1 \quad (\text{C.19})$$

from (C.6) according to (C.8), (C.18) and (C.19), we conclude that

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda) \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(-2i\pi \mathbf{p} \lambda) \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (\text{C.20})$$

It means that

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}[W_{\mathbf{p}}](\lambda) = \exp(-2i\pi \mathbf{p} \lambda). \quad (\text{C.21})$$

We recall that

$$\exp(-2i\pi \mathbf{p} \lambda) = \mathcal{F}[\delta(p - \mathbf{p})], \quad (\text{C.22})$$

hence, the flat limit of the Wigner eigenfunction $W_{\mathbf{p}}(p)$ of momenta p for positive eigenvalue $\mathbf{p}$ is just $\delta(p - \mathbf{p})$.

The proof for the case $\mathbf{p} < 0$ is analogous.

In the situation in which $\mathbf{p} = 0$, the Fourier transform is

$$\mathcal{F}[W_0](\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}}, \quad (\text{C.23})$$

hence

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{F}[W_0](\lambda), \varphi(\lambda) \rangle\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (\text{C.24})$$

Since the function $\varphi(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\lambda)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it is possible to find such λ_0 that for every λ fulfilling the inequality $|\lambda| > \lambda_0$ the relation (C.12) holds. Let $k = 2$. The function $F(\lambda)$ defined as (C.14) is integrable and for all $G > 0$

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) \right| \leq F(\lambda). \quad (\text{C.25})$$

This gives with the Lebesgue theorem that

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) d\lambda \right] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + G^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 \lambda^2}} \varphi(\lambda) \right] d\lambda,$$

which means that

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{F}[W_0](\lambda) = 1 \quad (\text{C.26})$$

and, hence

$$\lim_{G \rightarrow 0^+} W_0(p) = \delta(p). \quad (\text{C.27})$$

The case in which the curvature is given by (4.58) is more difficult for rigorous mathematical analysis. Hence, we have decided to present an intuitive proof that indicates that Wigner eigenfunctions (4.61)–(4.63) should tend to Dirac deltas when U goes to 0^+ .

As we can easily check for $p > 1$, fast oscillations appear and their frequency increases if U tends to 0^+ . It means that for U 's close to 0 the integrals

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right) \varphi(p) dp$$

are arbitrarily small for each test function $\varphi(p)$. Moreover, for $p < 1$, the function $\frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right)$ goes to 0 as $U \rightarrow 0^+$.

Taking into account these properties of the function $\frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right)$ we arrive to the conclusion that for U 's close to 0 the integrals

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right) \varphi(p) dp \simeq \int_{1-\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right) \varphi(p) dp, \quad (\text{C.28})$$

where ϵ is some real positive number. Let us consider the difference

$$\left| \int_0^\infty \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right) \varphi(p) dp - \int_{1-\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right) \varphi(p) dp \right| < A, \quad (\text{C.29})$$

where A is any fixed positive real number. Let us solve the inequality (C.29) for two fixed positive numbers U_1, U_2 such that $U_1 < U_2$. The minimal ϵ_1 fulfilling (C.29) for U_1 is smaller than ϵ_2 being the minimal number for which (C.29) holds in case $U = U_2$.

For each fixed ϵ integrals

$$\int_{1-\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \frac{2}{U\hbar} J_{\frac{2}{U\hbar}} \left(\frac{2p}{U\hbar} \right) dp \quad (\text{C.30})$$

go to 1 as $U \rightarrow 0$. So, we can say that in fact the flat limit of (4.63) is the Dirac delta $\delta(p - \mathbf{p})$.

Similar considerations can be done for $\mathbf{p} < 0$ and $\mathbf{p} = 0$.

References

- [1] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1958.
- [2] A. Joseph, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **17** (1970), 210.
- [3] P. R. Chernoff, *Hadronic J.* **4** (1981), 879.
- [4] L. van Hove, *Mem. de l'Acad. Roy de Belgique (Class des Sci)* **XXVI** (1951), 61.
- [5] N. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization*. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1980.
- [6] R. L. Stratonovich, *Soviet Physics JETP* **31** (1956), 1012.
- [7] M. Gadella, M. A. Martín, L. M. Nieto and M. A. del Olmo, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991), 1182.
- [8] A. Ballesteros, M. Gadella and M. A. del Olmo, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992), 3379.
- [9] J.A. González, M. A. del Olmo and J. Tosiek, *J. Optics. B: Quan. and Semicl. Opt.* **5** (2003), 306.
- [10] E. P. Wigner, *Phys. Rev.* **40** (1932), 749.
- [11] J. E. Moyal, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **45** (1949), 99.
- [12] G.S. Agarwal, E. Wolf, *Phys. Rev.* **D2** (1970), 2161 and 2206.
- [13] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, D. Sternheimer, *Ann. Phys. NY* **111** (1978), 61 and 111.
- [14] P. Carruthers, F. J. Zachariasen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **55** (1983), 245.
- [15] W.I. Tatarskij, *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **139** (1983), 587.
- [16] M. Hillery, R.F. O'Connell, M.O. Scully and E.P. Wigner, *Phys. Rep.* **106** (1984), 121.
- [17] M. Gadella, *Fortschr. Phys.* **43** (1995), 229.

- [18] H. W. Lee, *Phys. Rep.* **259** (1995), 147.
- [19] H. J. Groenewald, *Physica* **12** (1946), 405.
- [20] B. V. Fedosov, *J. Diff. Geom.* **40** (1994), 239.
- [21] B. V. Fedosov, Deformation Quantization and Index Theory. Akademie, Berlin, 1996.
- [22] C. Emmrich and A. Weinstein (hep-th/9311094).
- [23] M. Bordemann, N. Neumaier and S. Waldmann (q-alg/9707030 and q-alg/9711016).
- [24] A. S. Cattaneo, G. Felder and L. Tomassini (QA/0012228).
- [25] A. S. Cattaneo, G. Felder and L. Tomassini (QA/0111290).
- [26] M. Kontsevich (q-alg/9709040).
- [27] M. Kontsevich (AG/0106006).
- [28] D. Tamarkin (q-alg/9705007).
- [29] M. Przanowski, J. Tosiek, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B30** (1999), 179.
- [30] J. Tosiek, M. Przanowski, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B26** (1995), 1703.
- [31] J. F. Plebański, M. Przanowski and J. Tosiek, *Acta Phys. Pol.*, **B27** (1996), 1961.
- [32] M. Bordemann and S. Waldmann, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **41** (1997), 243.
- [33] J.F. Plebański, M. Przanowski and F.J. Turrubiates, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **B32** (2001), 3.
- [34] I. Vaisman, *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **100** (1985), 299.
- [35] G. N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel Functions. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1958.
- [36] H. Omori, Y. Maeda and A. Yoshioka, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **26** (1992), 285.
- [37] A. Connes, M. Flato and D. Sternheimer, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **24** (1992), 1.
- [38] L. Schwartz, Méthodes mathématiques pour les sciences physiques. Hermann, Paris, 1965.
- [39] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products. Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [40] M. Berthelson, M. Cahen, S. Gutt, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** (1997), A93.
- [41] S. Gutt, J. Rawnsley, *Equivalence of star products on a symplectic manifold* in Proceedings of the Workshop on Quantization and Momentum Maps, Warwick 1997.

- [42] E. Asplund, L. Bungart, A First Course in Integration. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.